OPINION 1229 ATHELGES GERSTAECKER, 1862 (CRUSTACEA, ISOPODA): CONSERVED

RULING.—(1) Under the plenary powers

 (a) it is hereby ruled that the names 'Athelgue', 'cladophore' and 'fullode' as published by Hesse in 1861 are vernacular names, not available for use in zoological nomenclature;

(b) the generic name *Botryllofer* Dalyell, 1851 is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Law of Priority but

not for those of the Law of Homonymy.

(2) The generic name Athelges Gerstaecker, 1862 (gender: masculine), type species, by subsequent designation by Markham, 1977, Athelges phyllodes Gerstaecker, 1862, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2169.

(3) The specific name paguri Rathke, 1843, as published in the binomen Phryxus paguri (the valid name, at the time of the present Ruling, for the type species of Athelges Gerstaecker, 1862) is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology with the Name Number 2826.

(4) The family-group name ATHELGINAE Codreanu & Codreanu, 1956 (type genus *Athelges* Gerstaecker, 1862) is hereby placed on the Official List of Family-Group Names in Zoology with the Name Number 543.

(5) The following generic names are hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology

with the Name Numbers specified:

(a) 'Athelgue' Hesse, 1861, ruled under the plenary powers in (1) (a) above to be an unavailable name (Name Number 2128);

(b) Botryllofer Dalyell, 1851, suppressed under the plenary powers in (1)(b) above (Name Number 2129);

(c) 'Prosthète' Hesse, 1861, a vernacular name (Name Number 2130).

(6) The following names are hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology with the

Name Numbers specified:

(a) 'cladophore' and (b) 'fullode' Hesse, 1861 as published in the combinations 'Athelgue cladophore' and 'Athelgue fullode', ruled under the plenary powers in (1)(b) above to be unavailable names (Name Numbers 1107 and 1108 respectively);

(c) 'cannelée' Hesse, 1861, as published in the

combination 'Prosthète cannelée', a vernacular name (Name Number 1109).

HISTORY OF THE CASE Z.N.(S.)2207

An application for the conservation of the generic name Athelges Gerstaecker, 1862 was first received from Dr John C. Markham (Bermuda Biological Station) on 2 December 1976. After some correspondence it was sent to the printer on 19 April 1977 and published on 31 August 1977 in Bull. zool. Nom. vol. 34, pp. 126–130. Public notice of the possible use of the plenary powers in the case was given in the same part of the Bulletin as well as to the statutory serials and to seven general periodicals and one specialist periodical. No comments were received.

DECISION OF THE COMMISSION

On 6 October 1981 the members of the Commission were invited to vote under the Three-Month Rule on Voting Paper (81)30 for or against the proposals set out in *Bull. zool. Nom.* vol. 34, pp. 128–129. At the close of the voting period on 6 January 1982 the

state of the voting was as follows:

Affirmative Votes — eighteen (18) received in the following order: Melville, Holthuis, Mroczkowski, Sabrosky, Willink, Trjapitzin, Corliss, Vokes, Brinck, Tortonese, Lehtinen, Alvarado, Habe, Binder, Dupuis, Nye, Bayer, Welch. Hahn gave an affirmative vote except for proposal (1)(b) and Heppell gave an affirmative vote except for (1)(a), (4), (5)(a), (6)(a) and (6)(b).

Negative Votes — none (0).

Halvorsen, Ride and Starobogatov sent in late affirmative votes. No voting papers were returned by Bernardi, Cogger and Kraus.

Hahn commented: 'I do not agree with (1)(b). Athelges should be given precedence over Botryllofer only when both names are

considered synonyms. The same applies to Prosthetus'.

Ride observed that the correct spelling of Athelges 'fullodes' Gerstaecker, 1862 was Athelges phyllodes. This was verified and the latter spelling has accordingly been used in the present Ruling.

ORIGINAL REFERENCES

The following are the original references for the names placed on Official Lists and Official Indexes by the Ruling given in the present Opinion:

Athelges Gerstaecker, 1862, Wiegmann's Archiv Naturges., vol. 28,

p. 558

ATHELGINAE Codreanu & Codreanu, 1956, Bull. biol. France Belgique, vol. 90, p. 119

Athelgue Hesse, 1861, Ann. Sci. nat. Paris (4) vol. 15, pp. 91, 112 Botryllofer Dalyell, 1851, The powers of the Creator displayed in the

Creation ... vol. 1, p. 252, pl. 67, fig. 6 cladophore Hesse, 1861, Ann. Sci. nat. Paris (4) vol. 15, p. 91 cannelée Hesse, 1861, Ann. Sci. nat. Paris (4) vol. 15, p. 109 fullode Hesse, 1861, Ann. Sci. nat. Paris (4) vol. 15, p. 97 paguri, Phryxus, Rathke 1843, Verh. kaiserl. Leopold.-Carolin. Akad. Naturf. vol. 20 (1), p. 57

Prosthète Hesse, 1861, Ann. Sci. nat. Paris (4) vol. 15, pp. 109, 113

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the votes cast on V.P.(81)30 were cast as set out above, that the proposal contained in that voting paper has been duly adopted under the plenary powers and that the decision so taken, being the decision of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature, is truly recorded in the present Opinion No. 1229.

R.V. MELVILLE

Secretary
International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature
London

3 June 1982